Semantic Variant Primary Progressive Aphasia (svPPA)

Dementia Neuropsychology · https://neuro.vip/neuropsychology/dementia-neuropsychology/semantic-variant-primary-progressive-aphasia-svppa/

Semantic variant primary progressive aphasia (svPPA) is diagnosed based on a distinct combination of clinical language features and characteristic neuroanatomical findings. Its neuropsychological profile is dominated by severe deficits in word comprehension and naming (anomia), with relatively preserved fluency and grammar. Rehabilitation approaches—particularly the combination of semantic feature analysis (SFA) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS)—have shown promise in improving word retrieval and slowing language decline, with evidence for generalisation to untrained vocabulary.

Diagnostic Criteria for Semantic Variant PPA

The diagnosis of svPPA follows established criteria that emphasise both clinical language features and, when available, imaging or pathological confirmation:

Core Clinical Features:

- Impaired confrontation naming (anomia)
- Impaired single-word comprehension
- Fluent, grammatically correct, but empty speech
- Surface dyslexia or dysgraphia may be present
- Preserved repetition and motor speech

Supportive Features:

- Profound word comprehension deficits interfering with daily activities
- No consequential impairment of object usage or face recognition in early stages
- Relative preservation of phonology and syntax

Neuroanatomical Findings:

Brain MRI reveals predominant atrophy of the anterior temporal lobes, especially on the left side, extending into the perisylvian language network and anterior components of the face/object recognition network.

Embedded media: https://radiopaedia.org/cases/22290/play?lang=gb



A "diagnosis certain" case of "Fronto-temporal lobar degeneration" in a 55 year old © Radiopaedia

Pathological Correlation:

Most cases are associated with TAR DNA-binding protein 43 (TDP-43) pathology, though rare cases linked to other pathologies (e.g., globular glial tauopathy) have been reported.

The 2011 consensus recommendations provide a structured approach to ensure consistent classification, including "imaging-supported" and "definite pathology" subtypes when appropriate data are available.

Neuropsychological Profile

Language Impairments

Severe Anomia:

The most prominent deficit is in naming objects, often due to a loss of semantic knowledge rather than retrieval difficulty. Errors frequently involve overgeneralisation within semantic categories (e.g., calling all birds "duck").

Word Comprehension Deficits:

Patients struggle to understand single words, especially nouns, which impairs communication and everyday functioning.

Fluent but Empty Speech:

Speech remains fluent and grammatically correct but lacks meaningful content due to impaired word knowledge.

Gradual Widening of Semantic Deficits:

As the disease progresses, semantic knowledge degrades further, affecting both intra-category (e.g., different types of birds) and inter-category distinctions (e.g., bird vs. tool).

Other Cognitive Functions

Preserved Syntax and Phonology:

Syntactic abilities are typically spared, but some patients may exhibit specific syntactic deficits, such as impaired production of object questions. These syntactic deficits are not universal or core features but can occur in some individuals.

Influence of Education:

Higher premorbid educational status may be associated with better syntactic performance and slower progression of certain language deficits.

Non-Language Cognition:

Object usage and face recognition are generally preserved in early svPPA, distinguishing it from other dementia syndromes.

Neuroanatomical Correlates

Region Affected	Clinical Consequence
Left anterior temporal lobe	Severe word comprehension and naming deficits
Perisylvian language network	Profound impairment of word usage
Inferior/medial temporal lobes	Extension to object/face recognition networks

Left-sided asymmetry is typical, explaining the more severe verbal than non-verbal semantic impairment.

Rehabilitation Approaches

Semantic Feature Analysis (SFA) Combined with tDCS

Therapeutic Strategy:

- **Semantic Feature Analysis:** Trains patients to retrieve words by focusing on their semantic attributes (e.g., category, function, appearance).
- **Transcranial Direct Current Stimulation:** Non-invasive brain stimulation applied to language-related cortical areas during therapy sessions.

Evidence for Efficacy:

- Significant improvement in naming trained words—up to 80% accuracy two weeks post-intervention.
- Generalization effect: Improved naming performance for untrained words as well.
- Gains persisted for several weeks after each intervention cycle.
- Phonological cues were more effective than semantic cues in facilitating word retrieval on standardized tests.

Clinical Implication:

The combination of SFA and tDCS can counteract expected language deterioration in svPPA, providing both targeted and generalised benefits in word retrieval. These interventions should be considered as part of a comprehensive management plan for svPPA patients seeking to maintain communication abilities.

Summary Table: Key Features of svPPA

Aspect	Description
Core Language Deficits	Severe anomia, impaired single-word comprehension, fluent but empty speech
Preserved Abilities	Syntax, phonology, object use, face recognition (early stages)
Neuroanatomy	Left anterior temporal lobe atrophy

Pathology	Most often TDP-43; rarely other proteinopathies
Rehabilitation	SFA + tDCS shows efficacy in improving and generalising word retrieval

Areas for Further Research

- Long-term benefits and optimal protocols for SFA/tDCS in broader svPPA populations
- The role of educational background in moderating disease progression and rehabilitation outcomes
- Early identification of atypical syntactic deficits and their management

In summary, svPPA is defined by profound semantic impairment with characteristic neuropsychological and anatomical features. Targeted rehabilitation strategies such as SFA combined with tDCS offer meaningful opportunities for intervention.

References

Basaglia-Pappas, S., Laurent, B., Getenet, J., Boulangé, A., Rendón de laCruz, A., Simoes Loureiro, I., & Lefebvre, L. (2023). Executive profile of the logopenic variant of primary progressive aphasia: Comparison with the semantic and non-fluent variants and Alzheimer's disease. *Brain Sciences*, *13*(3), 406. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci13030406

Gorno-Tempini, M., Hillis, A. E., Weintraub, S., Kertesz, A., Mendez, M., Cappa, S. F., Ogar, J. M., Rohrer, J. D., Black, S., Boeve, B. F., Manes, F., Dronkers, N. F., Vandenberghe, R., Rascovsky, K., Patterson, K., Miller, B. L., Knopman, D. S., Hodges, J. R., Mesulam, M. M., & Grossman, M. (2011). Classification of primary progressive aphasia and its variants. *Neurology*, *76*(11), 1006-1014. https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e31821103e6

Graff-Radford, J., Josephs, K. A., Parisi, J. E., Dickson, D. W., Giannini, C., & Boeve, B. F. (2016). Globular glial tauopathy presenting as semantic variant primary progressive aphasia. *JAMA Neurology*, *73*(3), 294-301. https://doi.org/10.1001/jamaneurol.2015.2711

Harris, J. M., Gall, C., Thompson, J. C., Richardson, A. M., Neary, D., du Plessis, D., Pal, P., Mann, D. M., Snowden, J. S., & Jones, M. (2013). Classification and pathology of primary progressive aphasia. *Neurology*, *81*(21), 1832-1839. https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000436070.28137.7b

Knibb, J. A., Xuereb, J. H., Patterson, K., & Hodges, J. R. (2006). Clinical and pathological characterization of progressive aphasia. *Annals of Neurology*, *59*(1), 156-165.

https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.20700

Koukoulioti, V., Stavrakaki, S., Mamouli, D., & Ioannidis, P. (2024). Production of complex sentences in the semantic variant of primary progressive aphasia: Neuropsychological, clinical, neuroanatomical and demographic correlates. *Applied Sciences*, *14*(13), 5390. https://doi.org/10.3390/app14135390

Mesulam, M. M., Rogalski, E. J., Wieneke, C., Cobia, D. J., Rademaker, A., Thompson, C. K., & Weintraub, S. (2009). Neurology of anomia in the semantic variant of primary progressive aphasia. *Brain*, *132*(9), 2553-2565. https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awp138

Rohrer, J. D., Paviour, D., Bronstein, A. M., O'Sullivan, S. S., Lees, A., & Warren, J. D. (2010). Progressive supranuclear palsy syndrome presenting as progressive nonfluent aphasia: A neuropsychological and neuroimaging analysis. *Movement Disorders*, *25*(2), 179-188. https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22946

Strunk, K., Weiss, S., & Müller, H. M. (2024). High-frequency language therapy with semantic feature analysis (SFA) and transcranial direct current stimulation (tDCS): A longitudinal single-case report of semantic variant of primary progressive aphasia (svPPA). *Brain Sciences*, *14*(2), 133. https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci14020133

Vinceti, G., Olney, N. T., Mandelli, M. L., Spina, S., Hubbard, H. I., Santos-Santos, M. A., Watson, C., Miller, Z., Lomen-Hoerth, C., Nichelli, P., Miller, B. L., Grinberg, L. T., Seeley, W. W., & Gorno-Tempini, M. L. (2019). Primary progressive aphasia and the FTD-MND spectrum disorders: Clinical, pathological, and neuroimaging correlates. *Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Frontotemporal Degeneration*, *20*(1-2), 50-62. https://doi.org/10.1080/21678421.2018.1556695